





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/992,198		11/18/2001	William Ho Chang	1282-010/MMM	5725	
21034	7590	07/06/2004		EXAMI	NER	
IPSOLON I		37 40740	KIM, HAROLD J			
805 SW BROADWAY, #2740 PORTLAND, OR 97205				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2182		
		•		DATE MAILED: 07/06/2004	04 '2	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/992,198	CHANG ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Harold Kim	2182					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days of 18 NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION.  FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on.  i, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	18 November 2001.						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for a	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice ur	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.E	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> is/are pending in the applic	Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 18 November 200	<u>1</u> is/are: a) $\boxtimes$ accepted or b)	objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ul>	ments have been received.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		<del></del>					
application from the International B		received in this National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for		received.					
Attachment(s)	<b>∧</b> □	C					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)</li> </ol>		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date	/	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/992,198

Art Unit: 2182

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-49 are presented for examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112: The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. In re claim 3, the phrase "in which in which" is not clear.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1-4, 6, 8, 17-19, 21, 23, 24, 33-38, 48 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Slaughter et al., US Patent no. 6,643,650.
- 7. In re claim 1, Slaughter et al. shows a data output control method [fig 39a, and fig 40a] that controls interaction between an information apparatus [1750, fig 39a] and a selected output device [1756, 1754, fig 39a] in connection with rendering at the selected output device output content managed with the information apparatus, comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/992,198

Art Unit: 2182

storing at least part of an output device object having one or more attributes relating to the selected output device [2056, fig 44b];

providing the at least part of the output device object to the information apparatus by wireless transmission [fig 39a]; and

receiving output data from the information apparatus by wireless transmission [fig 39a], the output data relating to the output content managed with the information apparatus, and passing the output data to the selected output device for rendering of the output content [fig 39a; 2058, fig 44b].

- 8. In re claim 2, Slaughter et al. shows device dependent data with respect to the output device [1960, fig 42].
- 9. In re claim 3, Slaughter et al. shows a display screen [1750, fig 39a].
- 10. In re claim 4, Slaughter et al. shows means for posting or broadcasting its availability for service [2057, fig 44b].
- 11. In re claim 6, Slaughter et al. shows that the at least part of the output device object is provided to the information apparatus in response to a service request received from the information apparatus [fig 44b].
- 12. In re claim 8, Slaughter et al. shows a printer [fig 39b].
- 13. Claims 17-19, 21, 23, 24, 33-38, 48 and 49 are rejected under the same rationale as discussed above in claims 1-4, 6, and 8.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/992,198

Art Unit: 2182

- 14. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 15. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 16. Claims 5, 7, 9-16, 20, 22, 25-32, and 39-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Slaughter et al., US Patent no. 6,643,650.
- 17. In re claims 5, 7, and 9-16, Slaughter et al. does not show receiving plural service requests from plural information apparatuses, the output controller providing the at least part of the output device object to each of the plural information apparatuses, and the at least part of the output device object is provided to the information apparatus in more than one communication session with the information apparatus. However, receiving plural service requests from plural information apparatuses and output controller providing the at least part of the output device object to each of the plural information apparatuses, and the at least part of the output device object is provided to the information apparatus in more than one communication session with the information apparatus are obvious since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. V. Bemis Co.,

Application/Control Number: 09/992,198

Art Unit: 2182

18. Claims 20, 22, 25-32, and 39-47 are rejected under the same rationale as discussed above in claims 5, 7, and 9-16.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Further references of interest are cited on Form PLO-892, which is attachment to this office action.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Mail Stop \_\_\_\_ Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

The centralized fax number is 703 872-9306.

The centralized hand carry paper drop off location is:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
2011 South Clark Place
Customer Window
Crystal Plaza Two, Lobby, Room 1B03

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the technology center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-5631.

Direct any inquiries concerning drawing review to the Drawing Review Branch (703) 305-8404.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harold Kim whose telephone number is 703-305-1948. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin can be reached on 703-308-3301. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Harold J. Kim

H.K.

Patent Examiner

June 28, 2004/HK